

11/07/2002 11:01

5028946001

ST MATTHEWS EXEC SUI

PAGE 85

*FAX After 1-703-872-9311 (20 pages) + Ref 10/17/02  
Att: EXC Terry Cecil - Art Unit 1723 with request  
to confirm receipt. Request for Reg No. 17444 PATENT*

IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT : KYUNG-JU CHOI  
SERIAL NO. : 09/781,786  
FILING DATE : 02/12/01  
ART UNIT : 1723  
EXAMINER : TERRY K. CECIL  
FOR : PRODUCT AND METHOD OF FORMING  
SUCCESSIVE LAYERS OF FACE-TO-FACE  
ADJACENT MEDIA WITH CALCULATED PORE SIZE  
DOCKET NO. : 00-6AAF (DN 7814)

Box RCE Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RCE TRANSMITTAL  
AMENDMENT "C"

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in response to the Patent Office communication dated 09/16/02 wherein the Examiner indicated at Page 2 of the Advisory Action of Paper No. 10 – as well as in telephone discussions – that Applicant's invention seems more conducive to method claims in the absence of corresponding structure in the media

It respectfully is submitted that the unique and novel presently amended product and method Claims 1-27 are a unified portion of the Applicant's invention since they set forth a unique product (Figures 2 and 3) which results from a novel method of calculation which now is expressed in the additional new Claims 28-44 of Exhibit "A:" (RCE) included herewith, along

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

with further modifications to parent Claims 1, 18, 19, and 27 and accordingly the dependent Claims therefrom.

As to the presently amended parent Claims of method Claims 19-27, these now amended Claims set forth a unique method which finds corresponding structure in the schematic flow diagrams of Figures 1A and Figure 1B (described at page 7 of the Specification under "BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS").

All of the Claims, both newly added and initially filed Claims bring out in each of the parent Claims, Applicant's inventive feature with respect to the "porosity" factor comprising the ratio of pore volume to the total volume of filter media. This feature - which Examiner consistently appears to ignore or disregard - is clearly recited at page 14, line 14 of Applicant's initially filed Specification and now in all of the parent Claims. It is one of the many important features of Applicant's unique and novel invention and as discussed in Applicant's Amendment "B", there is neither a teaching nor the faintest suggestion whatsoever of the important four combined factors of layer thickness, porosity, fiber and pore sizes. In fact, there is no mention whatsoever in this AP article of the "porosity" factor and it is this factor of "porosity" in combination with the other three considered factors that assures the now clearly recited result wherein overall average pore size of the combined successive thicknesses is smaller than that of the average overall pore size of that of the finest fiber thickness, so as to optimize filtration performance efficiency. Neither AP nor any of the other references of record teach or suggest such result let alone the manner of reaching such result and Examiner's suggestion that such result could be arrived at by the A-P reference without the absent factor consideration of "porosity" is at best an inaccurate and cavalier assumption.

For the reasons previously stated in Amendment "B" and for those set forth herein, it respectfully is submitted that now pending Claims 1-44 (as amended) should be held allowable and the instant application should be passed to Issue.

Concerning the two bulleted items, the numerals 4 and 4' are now incorporated in the corrected drawings (Figures 1A and 1B, respectively) per my letter mailed on the 29<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2002. Further, the Specification paragraph beginning at page 8 has been amended at continuing page 9, the 5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> lines from the page bottom to include 21', 37' and 38'.

Applicant is faxing this "RCE" to Examiner at the fax number below. In the event Examiner persists in its rejection of the Claims as now amended, it respectfully is requested Examiner telephone Applicant's Attorney at the telephone number below in order to resolve any remaining differences or to arrange for an interview at Examiner's office, with Examiner, Examiner's Supervisor, Applicant's Attorney and hopefully, Dr. Choi in attendance.


Respectfully submitted,



Ralph B. Brick  
Registration No. 17,444  
Polster, Lieder, Woodruff & Lucchesi  
763 S. New Ballas Road  
St. Louis, MO 63141  
Telephone: (502) 895-4672

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this correspondence is being faxed to Examiner at telephone number: 703-872-9311, with the correspondence being addressed to: Box RCE Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231, this 14<sup>th</sup> day of Oct., 2002.

  
Ralph B. Brick